

AN BORD PLEANÁLA
LDG- 078023-25
ABP- _____
17 FEB 2025
Fee: € 50 Type: CASH
Time: 16.06 By: HAND

27A Calderwood Road,
Dublin 9
D09 VA8X

12th February 2025

An Bord Pleanála,
64 Marlborough St,
Rotunda,
Dublin 1,
D01 V902.

Re: St. Margaret's Recycling & Transfer Centre Ltd SU06F.321465

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to case file 321465 seeking planning i.r.o of substitute consent.

As I had mentioned my other objection, my friends and I are plane spotters and one of them had seen a couple of small ads close to the racing section the Daily Star, about a waste place at the back of the airport, we were wondering was it the place where the special branch raided for the stolen cars or car parts.

In fact I think it was the catalytic converters, there was the number of people charged and there was number of convictions. I do recall the articles in the newspapers it was shocking.

There was also number of fires there, but a massive fire and it took a number of days to extinguish because of all the oils, grease, the paints, batteries and waste catching fire and blowing all over the place it was mad. And right beside the airport always and the schools in the village.

But like I said before I was unable to find any details about the application and their history on the planning file of the Fingal County Council website nor in on the waste register on the EPA for waste

permits. I even asked my friend Paul to check, who knows his way around the website and nothing matched the name of Saint Margarets Recycling and Transfer Centre Limited. So I was unable to carry out my research as the applicant is not a legal entity or person. And all three notices and letters said the same applicant it's not as if just one of them was a mistake it was definitely " Saint Margarets Recycling and Transfer Centre limited". So there is no history available , and does not exist properly.

So I object to the application as misinforming and does not allow me to comment correctly on the obligations of the applicant to its waste credentials required to protect the environment. In fact it is totally wrong.

Please reject the application for substitute consent notices as being incorrect. Surely all applications to an ABP must be true and correct in order to protect the public.

Regards



J. Griffith